

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. BON 499 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspid.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,822	11/13/2003	Ajaipal Singh Virdy	06975-218003	7862	
26171 FISH & PICH	7590 04/12/2007	EXAMINER			
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			WOO, ISAAC M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/705,822	VIRDY, AJAIPAL SINGH		
Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>	
Isaac M. Woo	2166		

	Isaac M. Woo	2166						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41:31: or (3)					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)). to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		educina or simplifyina	the issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	il be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-57</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>39 and 40</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North date of the American American and the American areas on the American areas on the American areas of the American	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or annellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
$\bigvee_{i \neq j} \mathcal{L}_{i}$	ian 10 or							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments (filed on 04/02/2007) for rejection under 35 U.S. C 102 (e), and rejection under 35 U.S. C 101, are not persuasive. Because Dunworth et al (U.S. Patent No.5,930,474) discloses, rejected claimed limitations in final rejection and claim 57 is non statutory. Thus, the applicant arguments are not persuasive and not in condition for allowance.

Jan Mov